arXiv:1605.02170v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 7 May 2016

Distribution of waiting times between superoperator quantum jumps in Lindblad dynamics

Daniel S. Kosov

College of Science and Engineering, James Cook University, Townsville, QLD, 4811, Australia

PACS numbers: 05.30.-d

Abstract. Time-evolution of open, dissipative quantum system is a stochastic process that consists of a series of quantum jumps that take place at random times. Between quantum jumps quantum system idles for some time in a particular quantum state. Based on superfermion/superboson formalism and general Kossakowski-Lindblad master equation for an open quantum system we develop a method to compute distribution of waiting times between quantum jumps. We illustrate the use of the theory by computing waiting time distribution for model Fermi-system in thermodynamic equilibrium.

The waiting time distribution is a powerful theoretical tool to study stochastic processes [1]. The dynamics of open quantum system coupled to macroscopic environment is inherently noisy due to quantum jumps, which mixes pure states of the system. Waiting time distribution seems to be natural choice to be used to obtain understanding of the physical mechanisms of quantum irreversibility and randomness. Despite the fact that the concepts of waiting time and quantum mechanics were brought together more than 30 years ago to describe photon counting experiments in optics [2, 3, 4], there were almost no interest in pursuing this direction until very recent years, when we started to see a flurry of activity in the use of waiting time distribution to study single electron transport in nanoscale quantum conductors [5, 6, 7, 8, 9]. In this paper, we develop a general formalism for computing distribution of waiting times between quantum jumps for open quantum systems of bosons and fermions. The approach is based on Kossakowski-Lindblad (KL) master equation and superfermion/superboson representation of quantum jumps.

Let us consider an open quantum system described by KL master equation[10, 11]:

$$\dot{\rho}(t) = -i[H, \rho(t)] + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \left(2A_\alpha \rho(t) A_\alpha^\dagger - [A_\alpha^\dagger A_\alpha, \rho(t)]_+ \right), \tag{1}$$

where H is the Hamiltonian of the system, $\rho(t)$ is the density matrix, and A_α is a set of N generally non-Hermitian Lindblad operators that represent the influence of the environment on the system $[A, B]_\pm = AB \pm BA$ denotes commutators/anticommutators throughout the paper. The Lindblad master equation is the most general master equation which can be derived under the requirements that all probabilities are real and nonnegative, $\rho(t)$ is always normalized, and $\rho(t)$ can be obtained from initial density matrix by linear map [10, 11]. noise from the quantum jumps. In Fock space, the Lindblad operators can be generally written as functions of particle creation $a^\dagger$ and annihilation a operators

$$A_\alpha = A_\alpha(a^\dagger, a). \tag{2}$$

The Fock space of the system under consideration is spanned by the complete orthonormal set of basis vectors $|m\rangle = |m_1, m_2, \ldots\rangle$, which are eigenvectors of the particle number operator:

$$a_k^\dagger a_k |m\rangle = m_k |m\rangle, \quad \sum_m |m\rangle\langle m| = I, \quad \langle n|m\rangle = \delta_{nm}. \tag{3}$$

The operators in the Fock space form themselves a linear vector space called the Liouville-Fock (or super-Fock) space. The set of vectors $|mn\rangle \equiv |m\rangle\langle n|$ form a orthonormal basis in the Liouville-Fock space. Thus, every operator $A = \sum_{mn} A_{mn} |m\rangle\langle n|$ can be considered as a Liouville-Fock space ket-vector $|A\rangle = \sum_{mn} A_{mn} |mn\rangle$. The adjoint operator $A^\dagger$ is represented by the bra-vector $\langle A|$. The identity operator corresponds to ket vector $|I\rangle = \sum_m |mm\rangle$. The scalar product in the Liouville-Fock space is defined as $\langle A_1|A_2\rangle = \mathrm{Tr}(A_1^\dagger A_2)$. In particular, the scalar

product of a vector $|A\rangle$ with $\langle I|$ is equivalent to the trace operation in the Fock space, $\langle I|A\rangle = \mathrm{Tr}(A)$.

What is described in the paragraph above is the standard method of superoperators widely used in nonequilibrium quantum statistical mechanics. The subtleties of the approach is in the necessity to introduce superoperator partners for particle creation and annihilation operators. Creation and annihilation superoperators are defined as (below we give a brief introduction, for detailed formalism see [12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19]):

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{a}_k\,|mn\rangle &\equiv a_k\,|m\rangle\langle n|\,, \quad \widetilde{a}_k\,|mn\rangle \equiv \underbrace{i(-1)^{\mu}}_{\text{only for fermions}} |m\rangle\langle n|\,a_k^{\dagger} \\
\hat{a}_k^{\dagger}\,|mn\rangle &\equiv a_k^{\dagger}\,|m\rangle\langle n|\,, \quad \widetilde{a}_k^{\dagger}\,|mn\rangle \equiv \underbrace{i(-1)^{\mu}}_{\text{only for fermions}} |m\rangle\langle n|\,a_k,
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where $\mu = \sum_k (m_k + n_k)$. The the phase factor $i(-1)^{\mu}$ should be dropped in the definition of boson superoperators. We sometimes call $\widetilde{a}_k, \widetilde{a}_k^{\dagger}$ tilde superopreators and $\hat{a}_k, \hat{a}_k^{\dagger}$ non-tilde superopreators. These creation/annihilation superoperators satisfy the standard commutations (for bosons) and anticommutation (for fermions) relations:

$$[\hat{a}_k, \hat{a}_l^{\dagger}]_{\pm} = \delta_{kl}, \quad [\widetilde{a}_k, \widetilde{a}_l^{\dagger}]_{\pm} = \delta_{kl} \tag{5}$$

$$[\hat{a}_k, \hat{a}_l]_{\pm} = [\widetilde{a}_k, \widetilde{a}_l]_{\pm} = 0 \tag{6}$$

The tilde and non-tilde superoperators always commute (bosons) or anticommute (fermions) with each other:

$$[\hat{a}_k, \widetilde{a}_l^{\dagger}]_{\pm} = [\hat{a}_k, \widetilde{a}_l]_{\pm} = [\hat{a}_k^{\dagger}, \widetilde{a}_l^{\dagger}]_{\pm} = [\widetilde{a}_k, \hat{a}_l^{\dagger}]_{\pm} = 0. \tag{7}$$

For any operator $A = A(a^{\dagger}, a)$ we formally have two superoperator partners (non-tilde and tilde superoperator)

$$\hat{A} = A(\hat{a}^{\dagger}, \hat{a}), \quad \widetilde{A} = A^{*}(\widetilde{a}^{\dagger}, \widetilde{a}). \tag{8}$$

The connection between non-tilde and tilde superoperators is given by the "tilde conjugation rules" [14, 17]:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(c_1\hat{A}_1 + c_2\hat{A}_2)\tilde{} = c_1^{*}\widetilde{A}_1 + c_2^{*}\widetilde{A}_2, \\
&(\hat{A}_1\hat{A}_2)\tilde{} = \widetilde{A}_1\widetilde{A}_2, \quad (\widetilde{A})\tilde{} = \hat{A}, \quad (\hat{A}^{\dagger})\tilde{} = (\widetilde{A})^{\dagger}.
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

By means of superoperators an arbitrary Liouville-Fock space vector can be represented as

$$|A\rangle = \hat{A}\,|I\rangle = \sigma_A \widetilde{A}^{\dagger}\,|I\rangle. \tag{10}$$

Hereinafter, the phase

$$\sigma_A = \begin{cases} -i, \text{ if } A \text{ is fermionic operator,} \\ +1, \text{ if } A \text{ is bosonic operator.} \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

Considering the adjoint of (10) and taking $\hat{A}$ as $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{a}^\dagger$ we get

$$\langle I| \left(\hat{a}^\dagger - \sigma_a^* \widetilde{a}\right) = \langle I| \left(\widetilde{a}^\dagger - \sigma_a \hat{a}\right) = 0. \tag{12}$$

Therefore superoperator $\hat{b}^\dagger = \hat{a}^\dagger - \sigma_a^* \widetilde{a}$ and its tilde conjugate, $\widetilde{b}^\dagger = \widetilde{a}^\dagger - \sigma_a \hat{a}$ annihilate the bra-vector $\langle I|$.

Having defined creation and annihilation superoperators, we can start to work with KL master equation. We convert KL master equation to superoperator form by multiplying it from the right on vector $|I\rangle$. The KL master equation becomes

$$|\dot{\rho}(t)\rangle = -i\mathcal{L}\,|\rho(t)\rangle, \tag{13}$$

where the Liouvillian is

$$-i\mathcal{L} = -i(\hat{H} - \widetilde{H})\,|\rho(t)\rangle - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \left(\hat{A}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{A}_\alpha + \widetilde{A}_\alpha^\dagger \widetilde{A}_\alpha - 2\sigma_{A_\alpha}\, \hat{A}_\alpha \widetilde{A}_\alpha\right). \tag{14}$$

Combining Lamb shift and system Hamiltonian into one superperator (t.c. is tilde conjugation operation performed by "tilde conjugation rules" (9))

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \left(\hat{H} - i\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \hat{A}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{A}_\alpha\right) - \text{t.c.} \tag{15}$$

and introducing jump superoperators

$$J_\alpha = 2\sigma_{A_\alpha} \hat{A}_\alpha \widetilde{A}_\alpha, \tag{16}$$

we bring KL master equation to the following form

$$|\dot{\rho}(t)\rangle = (-i\mathcal{L}_0 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} J_\alpha)\,|\rho(t)\rangle. \tag{17}$$

Time-evolution of open, dissipative quantum system is a stochastic process that consists of a series of quantum "jumps" described by superoperators J_α that take place at specific but random times. Between quantum jumps the dynamics of quantum system is generated by superoperator $-i\mathcal{L}_0$. Suppose that we observe quantum jump described by superoperator J_α at t_1, and then we observe the next quantum jump J_β at some later time t_2. The times of quantum jumps, t_1 and t_2, are stochastic variables distributed on the positive real axis. Let us define the following probability distributions:
$P_{\beta\alpha}(t_2, t_1)$ – the partial joint probability distribution that the quantum system undergoes a jump α at time t_1 and quantum jump of type β at time t_2,
$p_\alpha(t)$ – the probability distribution that the system performed quantum jump α in time t,
$w_{\beta\alpha}(t_2, t_1)$ – the conditional probability that the quantum system undergoes a jump α at time t_1 and quantum jump of type β at time t_2 that there were no other

quantum jumps between time t_1 and t_2. The quantity $w_{\beta\alpha}(t_2, t_2)$ is called *waiting time distribution* between subsequent quantum jumps. These three probability distributions are connected to each other by the standard relation between joint and conditional probabilities:

$$P_{\beta\alpha}(t_2, t_1) = w_{\beta\alpha}(t_2, t_1)p_\alpha(t_1). \tag{18}$$

We note that in a stationary regime (that may be thermodynamic equilibrium or nonequilibrium steady state), $w_{\beta\alpha}(t_2, t_1)$ and $P_{\beta\alpha}(t_2, t_1)$ depend on relative time only, $\tau = t_2 - t_1$, and $p_\alpha(t)$ becomes time-independent.

To develop the formalism associated with the tunnelling time distribution, we let the system evolve to time t_1 starting from some initial density matrix $|\rho_0\rangle$

$$|\rho(t_1)\rangle = e^{-i\mathcal{L}t_1} |\rho_0\rangle \tag{19}$$

and then we begin to monitor quantum jumps. First, we define the partial joint probability distribution that the system performs quantum jump α at some time t_1, idle without quantum jumps for some time $t_2 - t_1$ and then undergoes jump β at t_2:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\beta\alpha}(t_2, t_1) &= \langle I| \left[2\sigma_{A_\beta}\ \hat{A}_\beta\widetilde{A}_\beta\right] e^{-i\mathcal{L}_0(t_2-t_1)} \left[2\sigma_{A_\alpha}\ \hat{A}_\alpha\widetilde{A}_\alpha\right] |\rho(t_1)\rangle \\ &= 4\sigma_{A_\beta}\sigma_{A_\alpha}\ \langle I| \hat{A}_\beta\widetilde{A}_\beta e^{-i\mathcal{L}_0(t_2-t_1)}\hat{A}_\alpha\widetilde{A}_\alpha |\rho(t_1)\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

The probability that the system undergoes jump α at time t_1 is

$$p_\alpha(t_1) = 2\sigma_{A_\alpha}\ \langle I| \hat{A}_\alpha\widetilde{A}_\alpha |\rho(t_1)\rangle. \tag{21}$$

Using equations for probabilities (20) and (21) along with relation (18), we obtain the expression for the waiting time distribution

$$w_{\beta\alpha}(t_2, t_1) = 2\sigma_{A_\beta}\ \frac{\langle I| \hat{A}_\beta\widetilde{A}_\beta e^{-i\mathcal{L}_0(t_2-t_1)}\hat{A}_\alpha\widetilde{A}_\alpha |\rho(t_1)\rangle}{\langle I| \hat{A}_\alpha\widetilde{A}_\alpha |\rho(t_1)\rangle} \tag{22}$$

There are N^2 physically different waiting time distributions where N is the number of distinct Lindblad operators in KL master equation (17). The waiting time distribution expressed in terms of Linddlad operators (22) is one of the main results of the paper: It is general, applicable to both bosons and fermions and can be readily used for any kind of open quantum system described by KL master equation.

The normalisation of the waiting time distribution deserves special discussion. Over all time $t_2 \in [t_1, +\infty[$ the probability for a quantum tunnelling event to occur is unity. Therefore, we sum over all possible final quantum jumps $\sum_\beta$ and integrate over time t_2 to obtain normalisation:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\beta=1}^{N}\int_{t_1}^{\infty} dt_2 w_{\beta\alpha}(t_2, t_1) = \sum_{\beta=1}^{N}\int_{t_1}^{\infty} dt_2\ 2\sigma_{A_\beta}\ \frac{\langle I| \hat{A}_\beta\widetilde{A}_\beta e^{-i\mathcal{L}_0(t_2-t_1)}\hat{A}_\alpha\widetilde{A}_\alpha |\rho(t_1)\rangle}{\langle I| \hat{A}_\alpha\widetilde{A}_\alpha |\rho(t_1)\rangle} \\ &= \int_{t_1}^{\infty} dt_2 \frac{\langle I| -i[\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}_0]e^{-i\mathcal{L}_0(t_2-t_1)}\hat{A}_\alpha\widetilde{A}_\alpha |\rho(t_1)\rangle}{\langle I| \hat{A}_\alpha\widetilde{A}_\alpha |\rho(t_1)\rangle} \end{aligned}$$

Since $(I|\mathcal{L}=0$, the normalisation becomes

$$\int_{t_1}^{\infty} dt_2 \frac{\langle I|\, i\mathcal{L}_0 e^{-i\mathcal{L}_0\tau}\hat{A}_\alpha\widetilde{A}_\alpha\,|\rho(t_1)\rangle}{\langle I|\,\hat{A}_\alpha\widetilde{A}_\alpha\,|\rho(t_1)\rangle} = \frac{\langle I|\,\mathcal{L}_0\mathcal{L}_0^{-1}\hat{A}_\alpha\widetilde{A}_\alpha\,|\rho(t_1)\rangle}{\langle I|\,\hat{A}_\alpha\widetilde{A}_\alpha\,|\rho(t_1)\rangle} = 1. \tag{23}$$

That means the waiting time distribution defined by (22) is automatically properly normalised when all N secondary quantum jumps are included.

Let us now apply this theory to compute distribution of waiting times for a model system. Consider a Fermi-system which consists of one energy level

$$H = \varepsilon a^\dagger a. \tag{24}$$

The system is open and attached to the macroscopic thermal bath. It is described by the standard KL master equation

$$\dot{\rho}(t) = -i[H,\rho(t)] + \left(2A_1\rho(t)A_1^\dagger - [A_1^\dagger A_1,\rho(t)]_+ + 2A_2\rho(t)A_2^\dagger - [A_2^\dagger A_2,\rho(t)]_+\right), \tag{25}$$

where the influence of the bath on the systems is accounted by two Lindblad operators

$$A_1 = \sqrt{\Gamma(1-f)}a, \quad A_2 = \sqrt{\Gamma f}a^\dagger. \tag{26}$$

Here the bath thermodynamic parameters, temperature T and chemical potential μ, enters the KL master equation via Fermi occupation numbers in the Lindblad operators $f = [1+e^{(\varepsilon-\mu)/T}]^{-1}$.

In superfermion representation KL master equation (25) becomes

$$|\dot{\rho}(t)\rangle = (-i\mathcal{L}_0 + J_1 + J_2)\,|\rho(t)\rangle. \tag{27}$$

Here the superoperator which describes the streaming part of the density matrix evolution is

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = E\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a} - E^*\widetilde{a}^\dagger\widetilde{a} - 2i\Gamma f, \tag{28}$$

with $E = \varepsilon - i\Gamma(1-2f)$ and two quantum jump superoperators are

$$J_1 = -2i\Gamma(1-f)\hat{a}\widetilde{a}, \quad J_2 = -2i\Gamma f\hat{a}^\dagger\widetilde{a}^\dagger. \tag{29}$$

Both jump superoperators are associated with particle and energy exchange between the system and thermal bath From the structure of the superoperators we conclude that jump J_1 is associated with particle transfer from the system to the bath and J_2 describe the reverse processes when the particle is transported to the system from bath.

The total KL Liovillian

$$-i\mathcal{L} = -i\mathcal{L}_0 + J_1 + J_2 \tag{30}$$

is a quadratic, non-Hermitian form in terms of superfermion creation/annihilation superoperators. We can diagonalise it by the following canonical, nonunitary

transformation to nonequilibrium quasiparticles (also called Prosen's normal master modes [13]):

$$\hat{a} = \hat{b} - if\widetilde{b}_\dagger, \quad \widetilde{a} = \widetilde{b} + if\hat{b}_\dagger, \tag{31}$$

$$\hat{a}^\dagger = (1-f)\hat{b}_\dagger + i\widetilde{b}, \quad \widetilde{a}^\dagger = (1-f)\widetilde{b}_\dagger - i\hat{b}. \tag{32}$$

These transformations are canonical, that means theypreserve the anticommutation relation between the fermion creation/annihilation superoperators:

$$[\hat{b}, \hat{b}_\dagger]_+ = 1, \quad [\widetilde{b}, \widetilde{b}_\dagger]_+ = 1 \tag{33}$$

$$[\hat{b}, \hat{b}]_+ = [\widetilde{b}, \widetilde{b}]_+ = [\hat{b}_\dagger, \hat{b}_\dagger]_+ = [\widetilde{b}_\dagger, \widetilde{b}_\dagger]_+ = 0, \tag{34}$$

and the tilde and non-tilde superoperators continue to anticommute with each other. Although, this transformation is canonical, it is not unitary meaning that creation and annihilation operators for nonequilibrium quasiparticles are not related to each other by Hermitian conjugation: $\hat{b}_\dagger \neq (\hat{b})^\dagger$ and $\widetilde{b}_\dagger \neq (\widetilde{b})^\dagger$ Since, $\hat{b}_\dagger = \hat{a}^\dagger - i\widetilde{a}$, the vector $\langle I|$ is automatically the vacuum for $\hat{b}_\dagger$ and $\widetilde{b}_\dagger$ operators:

$$\langle I|\, \hat{b}_\dagger = \langle I|\, \widetilde{b}_\dagger = 0. \tag{35}$$

$\mathcal{L}$, expressed in terms of nonequilibrium quasiparticles, $\mathcal{L}$ becomes diagonal:

$$\mathcal{L} = (\varepsilon + i\Gamma)\hat{b}_\dagger\hat{b} - (\varepsilon - i\Gamma)\widetilde{b}_\dagger\widetilde{b}. \tag{36}$$

Suppose that the system is in thermodynamic equilibrium that means it is described by the density matrix which is null vector of the full Liovillian

$$\mathcal{L}\,|\rho\rangle = 0. \tag{37}$$

It also means that the equilibrium density matrix is a vacuum vector for nonequilibrium quaiparticle annihilation operators

$$\hat{b}\,|\rho\rangle = \widetilde{b}\,|\rho\rangle = 0. \tag{38}$$

The waiting time distribution between quantum jumps is given by (22). It does not depend on the initial time t_1 for stationary density matrix and is determined only on the time delay between two quantum jumps $\tau = t_2 - t_1$. Let us consider the distribution of waiting time between the two quantum events: first, at some arbitrary time t_1 the fermion appears in the system by quantum jump J_2, it spends in the systems time τ and then it "jumps out" of the system at time $t_2 = t_1 + \tau$:

$$w_{12}(\tau) = -2i\Gamma(1-f)e^{-2\Gamma f\tau}\frac{\langle I|\, \hat{a}\widetilde{a}e^{-i(E\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a} - E^*\widetilde{a}^\dagger\widetilde{a})\tau}\hat{a}^\dagger\widetilde{a}^\dagger\,|\rho\rangle}{\langle I|\, \hat{a}^\dagger\widetilde{a}^\dagger\,|\overline{\rho}\rangle}. \tag{39}$$

Using the canonical transformation to nonequilibrium quasiparticle creation and annihilation superoperators (31,32) the matrix elements in (39) can be readily computed:

$$w_{12}(\tau) = 2\Gamma(1-f)e^{-2\Gamma(1-f)\tau}. \tag{40}$$

This calculations demonstrate that the maintaining thermodynamic equilibrium is a dynamic processes. The distribution of waiting times between two balancing quantum events - the first one is when the energy/particle is brought into the system and the second jump when energy/particle is removed from the system - is Poisson process. The same result was recently obtained by our group based on the rate equation approach for occupation probabilities[9], this agreement between rate and KL equations demonstrates that the role of quantum coherences in waiting time distribution completely disappear from the KL master equation in stationary regime.

In conclusion, based on KL master equation and superfermion/superboson formalism we develop a general theory for calculation of distribution of waiting times between subsequent quantum jumps. The proposed method is universal, works in both stationary (steady state nonequilibrium or thermal equilibrium) and transient regimes, is applicable to open quantum systems of interacting bosons and fermions as long as they can be described by KL master equation. We obtain useful expression for waiting time distribution in terms of Lindblad operators (22) which can be readily employed for calculations using KL master equation for any physical systems. We illustrated the theory by calculations of waiting time distributions between quantum jumps for model open equilibrated Fermi-system.

References

[1] N. G. Van Kampen. *Stochastic Processes in Physics and Chemistry*. 3th ed. Amsterdam: North-Holland Personal Library, 2007.

[2] Marlan O Scully and Willis E Lamb. Quantum Theory of an Optical Maser. III. Theory of Photoelectron Counting Statistics. *Physical Review*, 179(2):368–374, 1969.

[3] M.D. Srinivas and E.B. Davies. Photon Counting Probabilities in Quantum Optics. *Optica Acta: International Journal of Optics*, 28(7):981–996, 2010.

[4] Reeta Vyas and Surendra Singh. Waiting-time distributions in the photodetection of squeezed light. *Physical Review A*, 38(5):2423–2430, 1988.

[5] T. Brandes. Waiting times and noise in single particle transport. *Ann. Phys. (Berlin)*, 17(7):477–496, 2008.

[6] H. P. Goswami and U. Harbola. Electron transfer statistics and thermal fluctuations in molecular junctions. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 142(8), 2015.

[7] Mathias Albert, Géraldine Haack, Christian Flindt, and Markus Büttiker. Electron waiting times in mesoscopic conductors. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 108:186806, May 2012.

[8] Björn Sothmann. Electronic waiting-time distribution of a quantum-dot spin valve. *Phys. Rev. B*, 90:155315, Oct 2014.

[9] Samuel L. Rudge and Daniel S. Kosov. Distribution of tunnelling times for quantum electron transport. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 144(12), 2016.

[10] A. Kossakowski. On quantum statistical mechanics of non-hamiltonian systems. *Reports on Mathematical Physics*, 3(4):247 – 274, 1972.

[11] G. Lindblad. On the generators of quantum dynamical semigroups. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 48(2):119 – 130, 1976.

[12] M. Schmutz. Real-time green's functions in many body problems. *Z. Physik. B*, 30:97 – 106, 1978.

[13] T Prosen. Third quantization: a general method to solve master equations for quadratic open fermi systems. *New Journal of Physics*, 10(4):043026, 2008.

[14] A. A. Dzhioev and D. S. Kosov. Super-fermion representation of quantum kinetic equations for the electron transport problem. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 134:044121, 2011.

[15] A. A. Dzhioev and D. S. Kosov. Second-order post-hartree–fock perturbation theory for the electron current. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 134:154107, 2011.

[16] Alan A Dzhioev and D S Kosov. Nonequilibrium perturbation theory in liouville–fock space for inelastic electron transport. *J. Phys.: Cond. Matt.*, 24(22):225304, 2012.

[17] A. A. Dzhioev and D. S. Kosov. Nonequilibrium configuration interaction method for transport in correlated quantum systems. *J. Phys. A: Math and Theor*, 47(9):095002, 2014.

[18] Alan A Dzhioev and D S Kosov. Superoperator coupled cluster method for nonequilibrium density matrix. *J. Phys. A: Math and Theor*, 48(1):015004, 2015.

[19] T. Prosen and B. Zunkovic. Exact solution of markovian master equations for quadratic fermi systems: thermal baths, open xy spin chains and non-equilibrium phase transition. *New Journal of Physics*, 12(2):025016, 2010.